



United States District Court
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO: U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Janis L. Sammartino

FROM: A. Corsello, Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: December 23, 2015
CASE NO. 15-cv-01376-JLS-JLB	DOC FILED BY: Clarice Tuck
CASE TITLE: Tuck v. Guardian Protection Services, Inc. et al	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Affidavit by Roy E. Tuck	

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

Supplemental documents require court order;

Date Forwarded: December 23, 2015

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court copy retained by chambers

Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to
Civil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local Rule 57.1.

Date: January 4, 2016

CHAMBERS OF: Janis L. Sammartino

cc: All Parties

By: /s/ SAD